Application for United States Patent

Declaration and Power of Attorney

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name;

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled

SIMPLIFIED RETICLE STAGE REMOVAL SYSTEM FOR AN ELECTRON BEAM SYSTEM

the specification of which:

(check	Ø	is attached hereto					•		
		was filed on	(if applical		of the above i	dentified specificati	on, includ	ing the claims,	
	I acknov	vledge the duty to disclor Federal Regulations, §	se information whic	ch is materi	al to the exami	nation of this applic	cation in a	ccordance with	
inventor's	I hereby	claim foreign priority bate listed below and have that of the application of	enefits under Title 3 e also identified bel	low any for	States Code, § eign application	119 of any foreign a on for patent or inve	pplication	n(s) for patent o ificate having a	
Prior Foreign Application(s)							Priority Claimed		
(Number)	(Cou	ntry)	(Day/Mo	nth/Year Filed	1)	ye s	no	
(Number))	(Cou	ntry)	(Day/Mo	nth/Year Filed	i)	yes	no	
insofar as manner p informati	s the sub provided on as de	claim the benefit under ject matter of each of th by the first paragraph o fined in Title 37, Code ne national or PCT inter	e claims of this appl f Title 35, United St of Federal Regulatio	lication is nates Code, ons, §1.56(a	ot disclosed in § 112, I acknown occur	the prior United Stowledge the duty to	tates appli disclose m	cation in the naterial	
(Applicat	tion Ser	al No.) (Filin	ng Date)		(Status: paten	ted, pending, abando	oned)		

Power of Attorney: As a named inventor, I hereby appoint Michael E. Whitham, Reg. No. 32,635, Marshall M. Curtis, Reg. No. 33,138, Clyde R Christofferson, Reg. No. 34,138, C. Lamont Whitham, Reg. No. 22,424, Ruth E. Tyler-Cross, Reg. No. 45,922 and Olga V. Merkoulova, Reg. No. 48,757 as attorneys and/or agents to prosecute this application and transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith. All correspondence should be directed to Whitham, Curtis & Christofferson, PC, 11491 Sunset Hills Road, Suite 340, Reston, Virginia 20190. Telephone calls should be directed to Whitham, Curtis & Christofferson, PC at (703) 391-2510.

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Docket No.: PAO-405 (06550006AA)

(1)	Inventor:	W. Thomas Novak	
	Signature:	Whoms / Wah Date Date Date	<u>-</u>
	Residence:	1205 Lakeview Drive, Hills borough, California 94010	
	Citizenship:	USA 30 M 1/16/02	
	Post Office Address:	Same As Above	
(2)	Inventor:	Douglas C. Watson	,
ļ <i>i</i>	Signature:	Dang (all) 16/16/16	<u> </u>
	Residence:	1353 Cameo Drive, Campbell, California 95008	
N	Citizenship:	USA	
	Post Office Address:	Same As Above	

*Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §1.56(a):

(a) A duty of candor and good faith toward the Patent and Trademark Office rests on the inventor, on each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application and on every other individual who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application. All such individuals have a duty to disclose to the Office information they are aware of which is material to the examination of the application. Such information is material where there is substantial likelihood that a reasonable examiner would

examination of the application. Such information is material where there is substantial likelihood that a reasonable examined where consider it important in deciding whether to allow the application to issue as a patent. The duty is commensurate with the degree of involvement in the preparation or prosecution of the application.

(b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and (1) it establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability; or (2) it refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in: (i) opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or (ii) asserting an argument of patentability.